Protein kinase C ζ interacts with a novel binding region of Gaq to act as functional effector protein

Guzmán Sánchez-Fernández ^{1,2,3,¶}, Sofía Cabezudo ^{1,2,¶}, Álvaro Caballero ^{1,2}, Carlota García-Hoz ^{1,2}, Gregory G. Tall ⁴, Javier Klett ¹, Stephen W Michnick ⁵, Federico Mayor Jr. ^{1,2,#} and Catalina Ribas ^{1,2,#}.

¹Departamento de Biología Molecular and Centro de Biología Molecular "Severo Ochoa", CSIC-UAM, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain.

²Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria La Princesa, Madrid, Spain.

³ Department of Pharmacology, Max-Planck-Institute for Heart and Lung Research, 61231 Bad Nauheim, Germany.

⁴Departments of Pharmacology and Physiology, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY14642

⁵Département de Biochimie, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128, Succursale centre-ville, Montréal, Québec, H3C 3J7, Canada.

* Running title: A novel binding region in Gaq

¶Equal contribution

#To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Catalina Ribas (cribas@cbm.csic.es) and Federico Mayor Jr (fmayor@cbm.csic.es)

Centro de Biología Molecular "Severo Ochoa", Universidad Autónoma de Madrid 28049 Madrid. Spain

Tel: +34-91-1964640; Fax: +34-91-1964420

Keywords: G(alpha)q, G protein, PKCzeta, ERK5, GPCR, PB1 domain

ABSTRACT

Heterotrimeric G proteins play an essential role in the initiation of G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) signaling through specific interactions with a variety of cellular effectors. We have recently reported that GPCR activation promotes a direct interaction between Gag and protein kinase C ζ (PKC ζ), leading to the stimulation of the ERK5 pathway independent of the canonical effector PLCB. We report herein that the activation-dependent Gaq /PKCζ involves the basic PB1-type II domain of PKC\(\zeta\) and a novel interaction module in Gaq different from the classical effector-binding site. Point mutations in this Gaq region completely abrogate ERK5 phosphorylation, indicating that Gαg/PKCζ association is required for the activation of the pathway. Indeed, PKCζ was demonstrated to directly bind ERK5 thus acting as a scaffold between Gaq and ERK5 upon GPCR activation.

The inhibition of these protein complexes by G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2, a known Gaq modulator, led to a complete abrogation of ERK5 stimulation. Finally, we reveal that $Gaq/PKC\zeta$ complexes link Gaq to apoptotic cell death pathways. Our data suggest that the interaction between this novel region in Gaq and the effector $PKC\zeta$ is a key event in Gaq signaling.

INTRODUCTION

G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) are the largest and most versatile family of transmembrane receptors (I). Particularly, Gq-coupled GPCRs mediate the action of many hormones and neurotransmitters with a paramount role in health and disease. Gaq activates phospholipase C (PLC β) isoforms, which hydrolyze PIP₂ leading to protein kinase C (PKC) activation and Ca²⁺ mobilization (I2). However, a growing body of

evidence suggests that alternative effectors underlie additional, PLC β -independent functions of G α q. Thus, p63RhoGEF (3) directly binds to G α q/11 linking GPCRs and RhoA activation. The competition between PLC β and p63RhoGEF for binding to G α q indicates the existence of alternative and mutually exclusive G α q-initiated pathways (4). Indeed, all characterized G α q effectors have been shown to bind to the same region, which comprises the C-terminal half of the α 2 helix (Switch II) together with the α 3 helix and its junction with the β 5 strand (5). Additionally, the GPCR receptor kinase (GRK) 2 acts as negative regulator of G α q function by shielding this surface away from effectors (6).

Mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) are essential downstream targets in G protein pathways. MAPKs control key cellular functions, including proliferation, differentiation, migration and apoptosis, and participate in a number of disease states including chronic inflammation and cancer (7). Recently we have described a novel signaling axis for the activation of ERK5 MAPK by Gq-coupled GPCRs in epithelial cells that is independent of PLCB and relies on a previously unforeseen role of Gaq as an adaptor protein through direct associations with two novel binding partners, PKCζ and MEK5 (8). Subsequently, this novel activation mechanism for ERK5 was shown to be conserved in cardiac cells and the physiological relevance of the Gq/PKCζ/ERK5 pathway in the development of cardiac hypertrophy programs was established using PKCζ-deficient mice (9). In the present work we have characterized the architecture of the Gαq/PKCζ complex in the context of the ERK5 pathway and determined that a novel interaction region underlies the ability of Gaq to trigger the PKCC/ERK5 cascade and to promote apoptotic cell death.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Materials - The cDNAs of Gαq, Gαq-R183C and Gαq-Q209L were kindly provided by Dr. A. Aragay (CSIC, Barcelona, Spain). The constitutively active Gαq mutant protein that lacks the ability to interact with PLCβ (Gαq Q209L/R256A/T257A) was provided By Dr. Richard Lin (Stony Brook University, New York, USA). The cDNAs encoding HA-PKC ζ , GST-

MEK5 and HA-ERK5 have been previously described (8). The cDNAs encoding Gaq/Gai1 chimeras (Gaii-ctGaq, Gaq-ctGai) were a kind gift from Dr. C.H. Berlot (Weis Center for Research, Pennsylvania, USA). GRK2 wt and GRK2-D110A were a gift from Dr. JL Benovic (Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, USA), GRK2-Y261F and W263D were a gift from Dr. T Kozasa (University of Illinois at Chicago, USA), the RH domain and RGS2/4 were from Dr. A. de Blasi (University of Rome "Sapienza", Italy), and the PKCζ-PB1 domain was described previously Recombinant GST-ERK5 was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Recombinant His₆-PKCζ was provided by Dr. Moscat (Sanford-Burnham Medical Research Institute, La Jolla, CA). and by Dr. James Hastie (Division of Signal Transduction Therapy, School of Life Sciences, MSI /WTB / JBC Complex, University of Dundee, Scotland, https://mrcppureagents.dundee.ac.uk). targeting and scrambled shRNA were from Sigma-Aldrich. PKCζ-targeting and scrambled shRNA were from Sigma-Aldrich.

CHO cells overexpressing the muscarinic M3 acetylcholine receptor, designated CHO-M3 cells, were a kind gift from Dr. A.B. Tobin (University of Leicester, UK). COS-7, HeLa and HEK293 cells were from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). Culture media and Lipofectamine were from Life Technologies Inc. (Gaithersburg, MD, USA). The affinity-purified mouse monoclonal antibody against Gaq was from Abnova (Walnut, CA, USA). The polyclonal antibodies against Gag (C-19), GRK2 (C-15), ERK1 and ERK2 and GST were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Monoclonal antibodies against HA tag and Glu-Glu (EE) tag were from Covance. The anti-phospho-ERK5 antibody (p-Thr218/p-Tyr220) was purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA). Anti-ERK5 and anti-phospho ERK1/2 antibodies, anti-PKCζ and anti-cleaved caspase-3 (Asp175) were from Cell Signaling (Beverly, MA, USA). Anti-α-tubulin was from Sigma. The anti-GRK2 antibody that recognizes the N-terminus of GRK2 was generated in our laboratory. Protein-G Sepharose was obtained from Invitrogen. Carbachol was from Sigma. All other reagents were of the highest commercially available grades.

Cell line culture and treatments - CHO cells were maintained in αMEM and HeLa, COS-7 and

HEK293 cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% (v/v) bovine serum (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere. The desired cell type was stimulated with carbachol at 37°C in serum-free media, at the specified doses and during the indicated time periods. The cells were serum-starved before ligand addition to minimize basal kinase activity. When required, cells (70-80% confluent monolayers in 60 mm dishes) were transiently transfected with the desired combinations of cDNA constructs using the Lipofectamine/Plus method (Invitrogen), following manufacturer's instructions. Empty vector was added to keep the total amount of DNA per dish constant. Assays were performed 24 h after transfection. Transient expression of the desired proteins was confirmed by immunoblot analysis of whole-cell lysates using specific antisera.

Cloning and Mutagenesis - Venus-YFP expression constructs for the protein complementation assay (PCA) were obtained by sub-cloning Gnag (mouse, accession number NM 002072) and Prkcz (rat, accession number NM 022507.1) into the 5' and 3'-ends of the Venus YFP PCA fragments, referred to here as N-terminal fragment (1–158 aa: F[1]) and the C-terminal fragment (159-239 aa; F[2]), respectively, as previously described (10). Renilla luciferase expression constructs for use in the protein complementation assay (PCA) were obtained by subcloning Prkcz into the 5' end of the 10-aa linker (GGGGS)² and of the Rluc-PCA fragments [Rluc-F(1):1-110aa; Rluc-F(2):111-310aa of the humanized Rluc; pcDNA3.1]. PKC\(\zeta\) binding-deficient mutants, Gaq binding-deficient mutants and Gaq constitutively active mutants were prepared using the QuickChange sitedirected mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) following manufacturer's instructions.

Co-immunoprecipitation assays - 24-48 h after transfection, cells were scraped and washed twice with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline, solubilized in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% (w/v) sodium deoxycholate, 1% (w/v) Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS, protease inhibitors) and clarified by centrifugation. Immunoprecipitation was performed with agarose-conjugated anti-HA antibodies (Santa Cruz, F-7) or, alternatively, with 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin and anti-Gαq (Santa Cruz, C19) followed

by re-incubation with protein G-Sepharose. All blots were developed using the chemoluminescence method and quantified by laser-scanner densitometry.

Pull down assays - In order to analyze MEK5/PKCζ binding, lysates from expressing GST-MEK5 (or GST alone as a negative control) were subjected to GST pull-down assays with glutathione Sepharose 4B as previously reported (8). In the analysis of PKCζ-ERK5 binding, purified GST-ERK5 or GST were incubated overnight at 100nM with 20nM His-PKCζ at 4°C in binding buffer (50mM Tris-HCl pH 7.9, 0.01% Lubrol, 0.6mM, EDTA and 70mM NaCl) supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail. Fusion proteins were incubated for 2h at 4°C with glutathione-Sepharose 4B beads and washed 8-10 times with the same buffer. In order to explore whether PKCζ binds to Gαg in a GTP dependent manner, 20nM of purified His₆-PKCζ was incubated with Ni-NTA resin (Probond) for 2h at 4°C in His-Binding Buffer (20mM Tris-HCl pH 7.9, 100mM NaCl, 10mM Imidazole). The mixture was then incubated with 50nM of purified Gag or Gαq loaded with GTPγS overnight at 4°C in the same buffer. Recombinant protein complexes were washed 8-10 times with His-Binding Buffer supplemented with 30mM Imidazole.

Preparation of $G\alpha q$ -GTPγS – Recombinant $G\alpha q$ was purified as described (11). $G\alpha q$ -GDP (10 μM) was incubated in a 1 ml reaction with 20 μM purified Ric-8A (12) and 100 μM GTPγS in 20 mM Hepes, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 0.05% Genapol C-100, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT for 1 h at 25 °C. The reaction was gel filtered over Superdex 75 / 200 columns arranged in series to separate $G\alpha q$ from Ric-8A. The monomeric $G\alpha q$ -GTPγS fractions were pooled, concentrated in a 10,000 MWCO Amicon Ultracentrifugal device and stored as 20 μM aliquots at -80 °C.

Determination of ERK5 MAPK stimulation-Lysates were resolved by 8% SDS-PAGE and subjected to immunoblot analysis as previously described (8). The activation state of ERK5 was measured by laser-scanner densitometry and expressed as the amount of phospho-ERK5 normalized to the amount of the total ERK5 protein. In CHO and HeLa cell lines HA-tagged

ERK5 was transfected and immunoprecipitated with anti-HA agarose beads (Santa Cruz). Immunoprecipitates were washed in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1% (w/v) Nonidet P-40, 0.25% (w/v) sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM NaF, supplemented with 1 mM sodium orthovanadate plus a mixture of protease and phosphatase inhibitors) at 4°C.

Protein-fragment Complementation Assays (PCA) - Protein-protein complexes can be recapitulated in living cells, by fusing protein pairs to complementary N- and C-terminal fragments of a reporter (enzyme or fluorescent protein). If the proteins interact the fragments of the reporter protein will be brought into proximity where they can spontaneously fold together and reconstitute enzymatic activity or fluorescence (10). Venus YFP-based PCA: Cells were co-transfected with the Venus YFP PCA expression vectors coding for prey-F[1] and/or bait-F[2]. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were subjected to fluorometric analysis and fluorescence microscopy. For the fluorometric analysis, cells were trypsinized and resuspended in PBS, transferred to 96-well black microtiter plates (Dynex; VWR Scientific, Mississauga, Ontario) and measured in a fluorometer (integration time 10 sec, excitation wavelength 470nm, emission wavelength 528nm) (Spectra MAX GEMINI XS; Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Background fluorescence was subtracted from fluorometric values of all of the samples. Fluorescence microscopy was performed using a Nikon Eclipse TE2000U inverted microscope with 40x objective and YFP filter cube (41028, Chroma Technologies). Images were captured with a CoolSnap CCD camera (Photometrics) using Metamorph software (Molecular Devices). When comparing different PCA pairs, identical microscopy settings were utilized and the expression of each construct was assessed by western blot to ensure that the differences observed in the fluorescence images was a due to a lack of interaction and not to insufficient expression of one of the reporters.

Renilla luciferase-based PCA - Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were starved for 2 h, detached and resuspended in 500 μ l PBS. A total of 100 μ l (10⁵ cells) were transferred to 96-well white-walled plates (Corning) in a preheated (37°C) LMaxII384 luminometer (Molecular

Devices). Carbachol was added and endpoint bioluminescence measurements were made at different times by addition of the Renilla luciferase substrate, benzyl-coelenterazine (5 mM, Nanolight). Rluc activity was monitored for the first 10 seconds after addition of the substrate (integration time 10 sec, post-injection delay 5 sec, peak emission wavelength 482 nm).

xCELLigence measurements - The xCELLigence system RTCA SP instrument (Roche Applied Science) monitors changes in the cell index (a measure of cell attachment to the plate) which has been shown to effectively correlate to proliferation, adhesion and viability changes (13, 14). To assess long-term viability cells were seeded in 96-well gold electrode sensor plate (E-plates) pre-coated with fibronectin (10 µM) and monitored every 15min for at least 3 days in minimal medium (3% FBS) until an irreversible decrease (inflection point) in the cell index was recorded. Cell death was expressed as the time between the start of the experiment and the inflection point. The first 16h after the cells were plated were excluded from each analysis as they correspond to the cell adhesion phase. In no case was cell death due to excessive confluence as confirmed by plate inspection with a microscope.

Propidium iodide incorporation - Cells were transfected with transiently the combinations of cDNA constructs and with GFP for the selection of the transfected population. Cells were cultured in 0.1% FBS DMEM for 48 hours. If required, cells were treated with the PLCB inhibitor U73122 (10µM) or with the ERK5 inhibitor XMD8-92 (1µM) 24 hours before staining. Cells were washed twice with PBS and resuspended in Staining Buffer (PBS 1X, 1% BSA, 0.01% NaN₃, 1% FBS) with propidium iodide (PI) 1 µg/ml. Analysis was carried out in a BD FacsCalibur flow cytometer (BD-Bioscience) and GFP-positive and propidium iodide-positive cells were quantified using CellQuest Software (BD-Bioscience) and analyzed with the FlowJo Software. Within the GFP-positive population the percentage of PI-positive cells was calculated as a measure of cell death due to heterologous expression.

Annexin V/7-AAD binding - To quantitatively measure apoptosis, the PE Annexin V Apoptosis

Detection kit I (BD Bioscience) was utilized. Transfection and serum starving were carried out as in PI assays, after which cells were resuspended in Annexin V binding buffer (0.1 M Hepes/NaOH (pH 7.4), 1.4 M NaCl, 25 mM CaCl₂) at a final concentration of 1x10⁵ cells. Samples were incubated with 2.5µl of PE Annexin V and 5ul of 7-AAD for 15 min at RT in the dark. Subsequently, 400 µl of binding buffer was added and samples were analyzed by flow cytometry within 1 hour on a BD FacsCalibur flow cytometer (BD-Bioscience). To determine the apoptotic stage of the different GFP-positive cell populations, 7-AAD- and Annexin V-positive cells were determined with the CellOuest Software (BD-Bioscience) and analyzed with the FlowJo Software. Cells treated with Staurosporine (2.5µM, 2 h) or ultraviolet irradiation (2 h), were considered the apoptotic (Annexin V-positive) and necrotic (7-AAD-positive) controls, respectively. Within the GFP-positive population the percentage of annexin-positive cells was calculated as a measure of apoptotic cell death due to heterologous expression.

Statistics - Statistical analysis was performed using the two-tailed Student's t test, as indicated in the figure legends.

RESULTS

Gag/PKCζ complex formation in vitro and in living cells - The activation of the ERK5 pathway by Gq-GPCRs appears to correlate with the formation of a transient complex between Gaq and PKCζ (8). Such interaction was suggested to be direct since these purified proteins are able to associate in vitro. A pull-down assay performed with purified proteins indicated that PKC \(\) preferentially binds the GTPyS-loaded form of Gαq (Fig. 1A). Further, the formation of a Gαq/PKCζ complex in living cells was assessed through a Protein-fragment Complementation Assay (PCA) (Fig. 1B). A clear association between PKC and Gaq was observed, as compared to a known high-affinity interaction (GCN4 leucine "zipper" dimerization) (Fig. 1C). The Gαq/PKCζ complex displays high specificity, since no association was detected between Gaq and another member of the PKC family, PKCB, nor between PKC ζ and another member of the G α family (Gail) (Fig. 1C).

The PB1 domain of PKC ζ is essential for Gaq association- PB1 domains are known protein-protein interaction domains and this module alone accounts for the majority of the reported interactions of PKC ζ (15). PKC ζ -PB1 domain overexpression was shown to interfere with the formation of Gq/PKC ζ complexes in cells, as assessed through co-immunoprecipitation assays (Fig. 2A). Indeed, the PKC ζ -PB1 domain alone is able to co-immunoprecipitate with Gaq (Fig. 2B), thus suggesting that PKC ζ might interact with Gaq through this module.

The PB1 domain of PKCζ is composed of a PB1-type I (acidic) and a PB1-type II (basic) domain (16). Since the PB1-type II domain of PKCζ has previously been involved in ERK5 activation by the EGF receptor and in MEK5 binding (17), a strategy was designed to mutate key amino acids in this region (Fig. 2C). In particular, lysine 19 (K19) seems to be an invariably crucial residue in all PB1-PB1 combination interactions in with other predominantly basic residues located nearby within three-dimensional structure (18, Remarkably, different point mutations in the PB1type II region and specially that in K19 decreased interaction the with Gag in coimmunoprecipitation experiments (Fig. 2D). This residue was also found to be essential for PKC \(-\) MEK5 binding (Fig. 2E), as predicted by other PB1-PB1 structures (19). Interestingly, another mutation within this domain (PKCζ-H21A) enhanced the ability of PKCζ to associate with Gαq (Fig. 2F). Taken together, these data indicate that the PB1 domain type II of PKCζ is crucial for binding Gag.

A novel region in Gaq is required for the interaction with PKC ζ - Since members of the Gai family cannot interact with PKC ζ (Fig. 1C and (8)), we utilized two different chimeras in which the C-terminus (aa222-353) of either Gaq or Gail had been substituted by that of Gail and Gaq, respectively (20), in order to delineate relevant regions for PKC ζ association. A Gaq chimera with the C-terminus of Gail was unable to interact with PKC ζ when expressed in cells (Fig. 3A), thus suggesting that the interaction determinants are predominantly located in the C-terminus of Gaq. This C-terminal stretch includes the classical effector-binding region (20). To assess whether

this region is responsible for binding PKCζ, we used different Gag mutants unable to interact with other effectors such as PLCB and p63RhoGEF (Gαq-R256A/T257A, (22, 23)) or GRK2 (Gαq-Y261F and Gaq-W263D (22)). Surprisingly, neither mutant affected PKCζ binding but on the contrary all co-immunoprecipitated with the kinase to a greater extent than wild-type Gaq (Fig. 3B-C). These data suggest that the absence of competitors on the surface of Gaq favors the interaction with PKCζ. This may indicate that PKCζ is interacting with other region close to the classical effector site. We noted that the adjacent β4-α3 loop in Gαq displays a relatively high sequence similarity with the PB1-type I domain of MEK5, a module known to interact with the PB1-type II domain of PKCζ (Fig. 4A). A double mutation (E234/E245-AA) in the homologous residues of Gaq in this potential interaction module significantly impaired its association with PKCζ (Fig. 4B). Interestingly, these amino acids were found to be homologous to highly conserved residues in several PB1-type I domain-harboring proteins as part of two major functional clusters (A1 and A2) (Fig. 4C) (18). Overall, these data indicate that a region of Gaq. distinct from the classical effector-binding site, is involved in the interaction with PKCζ.

An efficient Gaq /PKCζ association is required for the activation of the ERK5 pathway - We previously suggested that PKCζ is required for Gqcoupled GPCR activation of ERK5 (8, 9). To confirm this, we silenced PKCζ in CHO-M3 cells (Fig. 5A) and stimulated the cells with carbachol in order to reach maximum activation as previously reported (25). Activation of ERK5 was abolished in the absence of PKCζ (Fig. 5B), whereas ERK1/2 phosphorylation was seemingly unaffected (Fig. 5C). To establish whether this effect depends on the formation of a Gαq/PKCζ complex, we assessed the activation of ERK5 by the PKC \(\sigma_{\text{-}} \) binding deficient mutant (Gaq-E234/E245-AA; Gag-EEAA hereafter) in response to carbachol stimulation. Notably, overexpression of wild-type Gag clearly enhanced ERK5 activation by GPCRs as reported (25), whereas the Gaq-EEAA mutant did not (Fig. 5D). In the same experimental setting the promotion of ERK1/2 activation was similar upon either wild-type Gaq or Gaq-EEAA expression (Fig. 5E). Consistently, the direct activation of ERK1/2 by constitutively active Gαq (R183C) was not affected by the EEAA mutation

as opposed to the activation of ERK5, which was impaired (Fig. 5F-G). These results indicate that this mutant retains the ability to modulate the activity of other Gaq effector proteins and support the specificity of the $Gaq/PKC\zeta$ axis in promoting ERK5 activation.

 $PKC\zeta$ scaffolds an activation-dependent Gαq/ERK5 complex. Interestingly, Gαq was found to co-immunoprecipitate with the activated form of ERK5 and this was clearly decreased by the EEAA mutation (Fig. 6A). The formation of Gaq /ERK5 complexes was greatly favored by activating mutations in the G alpha subunit (R183C or Q209L) (Fig. 6B), which supports the formation of the complexes upon GPCR stimulation. We hypothesized that PKCζ could be organizing a multimolecular Gag/ERK5 complex upon G protein activation. Both the co-expression of the PKCζ-PB1 domain or the downregulation of PKCζ expression led to a decreased formation of Gαq/ERK5 complexes (Fig. 6C-D). To address whether PKCζ could exert a scaffold role through a direct interaction with ERK5, we performed pull down experiments with purified proteins and found that PKCζ and ERK5 are direct binding partners (Fig. 6E). Collectively, these findings suggest that PKCζ orchestrates a ternary complex with Gαq and ERK5 that underlies the activation of the signaling cascade.

GRK2 negatively regulates the Gag/PKC\(\zeta\) complex and receptor-induced ERK5 activation - GRK2 is a negative regulator of Gaq signaling both through receptor desensitization mechanisms and direct inhibition of Gαq-effector interactions (29). Consistently, we observed that overexpression of wild-type GRK2 completely abolished Gaq association to PKCζ (Fig. 7A). Such effect was independent of GRK2 kinase activity and mimicked by its RH domain, a region reported to specifically interact with Gag (30). Also, a GRK2 mutant (D110A) which is unable to interact with Gaq (28) barely interfered with formation of the Gαq/PKCζ complex (Fig. 7B). The negative regulation exerted by GRK2 was also detected in a natural cell milieu, as assessed through the Venus-YFP PCA (Fig. 7C). On the contrary, as observed for other Gαq effectors (31), PKCζ was not displaced by the Gaq regulators RGS2 or 4 (Fig. 7D-E).

In agreement with the ability to inhibit Gαq/PKCζ interaction, enhanced GRK2 levels in CHO-M3 cells abolished carbachol-induced ERK5 activation (Fig. 8A). ERK5 activation was reduced to approximately 50% upon expression of the RH domain of GRK2 (Fig. 8B), whereas a kinaseinactive GRK2-K220R mutant did not disrupt ERK1/2 signaling as compared with wild-type GRK2 (Fig. 8C). This suggests that direct Gaq binding plays a role in the attenuation of ERK5 signaling by GRK2 in addition to kinasedependent GPCR desensitization. Consistently, the duration and amplitude of carbachol-induced ERK5 activation (Fig. 8D), as well as the assembly of Gag/ERK5 multimolecular complexes (Fig. 8E) were markedly enhanced when expressing a GRK2 binding-deficient mutant of Gaq (Gaq-Y261F).

Gaq is involved in apoptotic cell death promotion via $PKC\zeta$ - The description of PKC ζ as an effector protein for Gaq suggested that it might underlie specific cellular functions promoted by the G protein. Since cell death promotion is a wellestablished Gαq-initiated process ((21) and references therein), we compared cell viability in CHO cells expressing Gaq wt or the Gaq-EEAA mutant upon long-term growth in low serum (3% FBS). Cell death took place earlier in Gaqoverexpressing cells compared to control and Gαq-EEAA populations, both of which initiated this process in a similar timeframe (Fig. 9A). The clear increase in cell death promoted by a constitutivelyactive Gaq mutant (Gaq-R183C) was attenuated when introducing the EEAA mutation (which reduces the interaction with PKCζ) and, contrarily, it was enhanced by the Y261F mutation (that potentiates the PKCζ interaction) (Fig. 9B), consistent with a role for the Gq/PKCζ signaling axis in triggering this process. Such impaired ability of the Gag-EEAA mutant to promote cell death was also observed in HeLa cells (data not shown). Moreover, cell death upon constitutivelyactive Gag overexpression in CHO cells was neither affected by a mutation that impairs PLCB activation (R256/T257-AA (22)) (Fig. 9C) nor by PLCB pharmacological inhibition (Fig.9D). On the other hand, either ERK5 inhibition or coexpression of the PB1 domain of PKCζ showed an inhibitory effect on Gaq-induced cell death (Fig. 9D), suggesting that this Gαq-initiated process is, at least in part, dependent on PKCζ-mediated activation of ERK5. The phenotype observed was

determined to be apoptotic cell death, as both annexin V staining and caspase 3 cleavage were enhanced upon $G\alpha q$ -R183C overexpression and abrogated by the EEAA mutation (Fig. 9E-F). Taken together, these data reveal that the novel binding region of $G\alpha q$ is involved in the promotion of apoptotic cell death via PKC ζ .

DISCUSSION

Emerging evidence indicates that activated Gag subunits can interact with several effector proteins to trigger signaling pathways different from the canonical PLCβ cascade. Previously, we reported a direct, activation-dependent association between Gaq and PKC\(\zeta\) in the context of Gqcoupled GPCR-mediated activation of ERK5 (8). These data suggested a genuine G protein-effector interaction although a causal relationship between the formation of a Gαq/PKCζ complex and Gαqdependent functional outputs remained to be established. Herein we provide conclusive evidence showing that PKCζ acts as a Gαq effector through the engagement of a novel binding region in the alpha subunit leading to ERK5 activation and apoptotic cell death.

First, we show that the basic PB1-type II domain of PKC ζ , governed by the K19 residue, is critical for the association with G α q. This region was found to mediate protein-protein interactions of PKC ζ that are involved in NF κ B activation or cell polarity establishment (32), and also in ERK5 activation by EGF (17). Our finding is consistent with the fact that independent expression of the PKC ζ PB1 domain inhibited Gq-GPCR-mediated ERK5 stimulation (8). Second, we describe a novel binding region in G α q driving the interaction with PKC ζ which is different from the classical effector-binding region and shows surprising sequence similarities to PB1-type I domains.

Overall, the fact that the PKC ζ interaction residues in Gaq lie in the vicinity of the classical effector-binding region, supports our conclusion that PKC ζ is a bona-fide effector of Gaq that associates with a subset of amino acids that are distinct from the binding determinants of other Gaq binding partners (PLC β , GRK2 and p63RhoGEF). All effectors of G alpha subunits invariably associate with the extended region comprising the C-terminal half of the a2 helix, together with the a3 helix and its junction with the

β5 strand, although the subsets of crucial amino acids for these associations vary with the specific effector (33). Interestingly, residues 221-245 of Gαq, which include the PKCζ-binding region but not the classical effector-binding residues, has been recently identified to mediate association with the cold-activated channel TRPM8, a novel Gαq interaction partner (34). This supports the characterization of this Gαq region as a functional module capable of binding different cellular proteins.

Our data show that Gaq strictly depends on the association with PKCζ to promote ERK5 activation. Indeed, the EEAA mutation in Gag abrogated both direct and receptor-induced ERK5 phosphorylation, whereas ERK1/2 activation remained unaffected. Importantly, we demonstrate that Gaq and ERK5 are found together in an activation-dependent multimolecular complex orchestrated through PKCζ scaffolding, which directly binds ERK5 and enables the stimulation of the pathway. This scaffold role was supported by the finding that Gq-coupled GPCRs do not promote phosphorylation-dependent activation of PKCζ (8). Instead we observed (data not shown) that carbachol induces dimerization of the kinase at a coincident time-course to the Gαq-PKCζ interaction. This could be relevant since dimerization not only is a common scaffold protein mechanism but, in the case of PB1-PB1 associations, it has recently been shown to promote PKCζ activation independent of phosphorylation (35). Indeed, Par6 interaction with PKCζ induces its allosteric activation through the displacement of the PKCζ pseudo-substrate region from the active site (36). Interestingly, Gaq-mediated activation of effectors PLCβ (37) or p63RhoGEF (23) involves the allosteric relief of an auto-inhibitory loop buried within the active region. Thus, it is possible that a PB1-domain-dependent relief of pseudosubstrate autoinhibition in PKCζ could be induced upon Gag binding or upon GPCR-induced dimerization. It is tempting to suggest that PB1driven PKCζ scaffolding might be a cellular mechanism for imposing spatial and temporal specificity during Gaq-initiated signaling.

The regulation of $G\alpha q$ /effector complexes by GRK2 is a well-established process for dampening downstream signaling. We show that GRK2 impedes the association of PKC ζ with $G\alpha q$ in

living cells, and abrogates ERK5 activation due to sequestering protein and desensitization, as reported for other Gαg/effector complexes (38). Coincidently, we show that the impairment of the GRK2/Gaq interaction with a specific association-deficient Gaq mutant (Y261F) greatly enhances Gag interaction with PKC and its presence in ERK5 complexes, thus promoting ERK5 activation. These findings strengthen the role of PKCζ as a novel Gαq effector and suggest that Gαq signaling towards the PKCζ/ERK5 pathway could be effectively modified in where pathophysiological contexts GRK2 expression and/or functionality is altered (39).

Finally, we put forward the assembly of Gαg/PKCζ complexes as an important process for the promotion of apoptotic cell death by Gαq. The increase in cell death promoted by the presence of constitutively-active Gaq was abolished by the EEAA mutation (which blocks the assembly of Gαq/PKCζ complexes), so cells expressing the Gαq-EEAA mutant displayed a higher viability than those expressing Gaq wild-type. On the contrary, the presence of the GRK2-association deficient Gaq mutation Y261F (leading to increased complex formation) potentiated cell death. This process is conserved in HeLa cells, and was characterized as apoptosis-mediated cell death, consistent with the reported role for Gag in the promotion of apoptosis (40). In line with the notion that PKCζ is as a key effector in this process, the overexpression of the PKCζ -PB1 domain decreased Gaq-promoted cell death, whereas neither PLCB inhibitors nor Gaq mutants that cannot activate PLCB have an effect. These results are in agreement with previous reports showing that caspase activation and apoptosis promoted by activated Gaq is not blocked by inhibitors of IP₃- or PKC-dependent signaling (41). Also, the role of PKCζ as a pro-apoptotic protein appears to have a crucial effect on the repression of tumorigenesis in ovarian (42) and prostate cancer (41). Interestingly, pharmacological blockade of ERK5 partly inhibited cell death promotion downstream of the Gq/PKCζ axis. Although ERK5 is a well-known pro-survival factor in several contexts (44), it also has been shown to positively regulate apoptosis of medulloblastoma cells (45) and thymocytes (46). However, we cannot rule out that, alongside ERK5, other yet unidentified pathways downstream the $G\alpha q/PKC\zeta$ axis would play a role in this process.

In sum, we propose the following mechanistic model for the $G\alpha q/PKC\zeta$ axis (Fig. 10): Ligand binding to the receptor causes Gq activation (step 1) which, in turn, promotes the interaction between the PB1 domain type II of $PKC\zeta$ and the novel effector-binding region of $G\alpha q$ -GTP (step 2). This would lead to $PKC\zeta$ allosteric activation, dimer/oligomerization and to the exposure of its kinase domain to interact with ERK5, which is recruited into a multimolecular complex together with $G\alpha q$ (step 3). Next, MEK5 would be attracted into an intermediate signaling complex through a direct interaction with $G\alpha q$ (8) which would rapidly progress into MEK5 displacing $G\alpha q$ from

its binding site on PKCζ (step 4). Subsequently, the interaction between MEK5 and PKCζ would favor the autophosphorylation of MEK5, which will, in turn, phosphorylate and activate ERK5 (step 5) (17). Additionally, GRK2 and RGS proteins would act as negative modulators of this cascade by sequestering Gαq away from PKCζ (step 2'), or by binding to Gag in complex with PKCζ to promote GTPase activity and deactivation of the G alpha subunit (step 3'), respectively. Finally, we postulate that the promotion of apoptotic cell death may depend both on ERK5 and other yet uncharacterized targets downstream the Gαg/PKCζ complex. This model may serve as a theoretical framework for subsequent studies of this signaling axis and contribute to revise the functional consequences of Gaq activation.

Acknowledgments

Many thanks to Durga Sivanesan for her extraordinary help, and Susana Rojo, Almudena Santos and Paula Ramos for helpful technical assistance.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

Author contributions

GSF, SC, CGH, FM and CR designed experiments. GSF, SC, AC, GGT, JK and CGH performed experiments. SWM designed the PCA approach. GSF, FM and CR wrote the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- 1. Pierce, K. L., Premont, R. T., and Lefkowitz, R. J. (2002) Seven-transmembrane receptors. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **3**, 639–50
- 2. Rhee, S. (2001) Regulation of phosphoinositide-specific phospholipase C*. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **70**, 281–312
- 3. Lutz, S., Shankaranarayanan, A., Coco, C., Ridilla, M., Nance, M. R., Vettel, C., Baltus, D., Evelyn, C. R., Neubig, R. R., Wieland, T., and Tesmer, J. J. G. (2007) Structure of Galphaq-p63RhoGEF-RhoA complex reveals a pathway for the activation of RhoA by GPCRs. *Science*. **318**, 1923–7
- 4. Lutz, S., Freichel-Blomquist, A., Yang, Y., Rümenapp, U., Jakobs, K. H., Schmidt, M., and Wieland, T. (2005) The guanine nucleotide exchange factor p63RhoGEF, a specific link between Gq/11-coupled receptor signaling and RhoA. *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**, 11134–9
- 5. Sprang, S. R., Chen, Z., and Du, X. (2007) Structural basis of effector regulation and signal termination in heterotrimeric Galpha proteins. *Adv. Protein Chem.* **74**, 1–65
- 6. Sánchez-Fernández, G., Cabezudo, S., García-Hoz, C., Benincá, C., Aragay, A. M., Mayor, F., and Ribas, C. (2014) Gαq signalling: The new and the old. *Cell. Signal.* **26**, 833–848
- 7. Turjanski, A. G., Vaqué, J. P., and Gutkind, J. S. (2007) MAP kinases and the control of nuclear events. *Oncogene*. **26**, 3240–53

- 8. García-Hoz, C., Sánchez-Fernández, G., Díaz-Meco, M. T., Moscat, J., Mayor, F., and Ribas, C. (2010) G alpha(q) acts as an adaptor protein in protein kinase C zeta (PKCzeta)-mediated ERK5 activation by G protein-coupled receptors (GPCR). *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**, 13480–9
- García-Hoz, C., Sánchez-Fernández, G., García-Escudero, R., Fernández-Velasco, M., Palacios-García, J., Ruiz-Meana, M., Díaz-Meco, M. T., Leitges, M., Moscat, J., García-Dorado, D., Boscá, L., Mayor, F., and Ribas, C. (2012) Protein Kinase C (PKC)ζ-mediated Gαq Stimulation of ERK5 Protein Pathway in Cardiomyocytes and Cardiac Fibroblasts. J. Biol. Chem. 287, 7792–802
- 10.Remy, I., and Michnick, S. W. (2004) Mapping biochemical networks with protein-fragment complementation assays. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **261**, 411–26
- 11. Chan P, Gabay M, Wright FA, Kan W, Oner SS, Lanier SM, Smrcka AV, Blumer JB, Tall GG: Purification of heterotrimeric G protein alpha subunits by GST-Ric-8 association: primary characterization of purified G alpha(olf). *J Biol Chem* 2011, 286:2625-2635.
- 12. Tall GG, Krumins AM, Gilman AG: Mammalian Ric-8A (Synembryn) is a heterotrimeric G alpha protein guanine nucleotide exchange factor. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2003, 278:8356-8362.
- 13.Limame, R., Wouters, A., Pauwels, B., Fransen, E., Peeters, M., Lardon, F., De Wever, O., and Pauwels, P. (2012) Comparative analysis of dynamic cell viability, migration and invasion assessments by novel real-time technology and classic endpoint assays. *PLoS One*. 7, e46536
- 14. Ke, N., Wang, X., Xu, X., and Abassi, Y. A. (2011) The xCELLigence System for Real-Time and Label-Free Monitoring of Cell Viability. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **740**, 33–43
- 15. Sumimoto, H., Kamakura, S., and Ito, T. (2007) Structure and function of the PB1 domain, a protein interaction module conserved in animals, fungi, amoebas, and plants. *Sci. STKE*. **2007**, re6
- 16. Moscat, J., Diaz-Meco, M. T., Albert, A., and Campuzano, S. (2006) Cell signaling and function organized by PB1 domain interactions. *Mol. Cell.* **23**, 631–40
- 17. Diaz-Meco, M. T., and Moscat, J. (2001) MEK5, a new target of the atypical protein kinase C isoforms in mitogenic signaling. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **21**, 1218
- 18. Hirano, Y., Yoshinaga, S., Takeya, R., Suzuki, N. N., Horiuchi, M., Kohjima, M., Sumimoto, H., and Inagaki, F. (2005) Structure of a cell polarity regulator, a complex between atypical PKC and Par6 PB1 domains. *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**, 9653–61
- 19. Hirano, Y., Yoshinaga, S., Ogura, K., Yokochi, M., Noda, Y., Sumimoto, H., and Inagaki, F. (2004) Solution structure of atypical protein kinase C PB1 domain and its mode of interaction with ZIP/p62 and MEK5. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 31883–90
- 20. Medina, R., Grishina, G., Meloni, E. G., Muth, T. R., and Berlot, C. H. (1996) Localization of the effector-specifying regions of Gi2alpha and Gqalpha. *J. Biol. Chem.* **271**, 24720–24727
- 21. Sánchez-Fernández, G., Cabezudo, S., García-Hoz, C., Benincá, C., Aragay, A. M., Mayor Jr, F., and Ribas, C. (2014) Galphaq signalling: the new and the old. *Cell. Signal*.
- 22. Fan, G., Ballou, L. M., and Lin, R. Z. (2003) Phospholipase C-independent activation of glycogen synthase kinase-3beta and C-terminal Src kinase by Galphaq. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 52432–6
- 23. Shankaranarayanan, A., Boguth, C. A., Lutz, S., Vettel, C., Uhlemann, F., Aittaleb, M., Wieland, T., and Tesmer, J. J. G. (2010) Galpha q allosterically activates and relieves autoinhibition of p63RhoGEF. *Cell. Signal.* **22**, 1114–23
- 24. Tesmer, V. M., Kawano, T., Shankaranarayanan, A., Kozasa, T., and Tesmer, J. J. G. (2005) Snapshot of activated G proteins at the membrane: the Galphaq-GRK2-Gbetagamma complex. *Science*. **310**, 1686–90
- 25. Sánchez-Fernández, G., Cabezudo, S., García-Hoz, C., Tobin, A. B., Mayor Jr, F., and Ribas, C. (2013) ERK5 Activation by Gq-Coupled Muscarinic Receptors Is Independent of Receptor Internalization and β-Arrestin Recruitment. *PLoS One.* **8**, e84174
- 26. Nigro, P., Abe, J., Woo, C.-H., Satoh, K., McClain, C., O'Dell, M. R., Lee, H., Lim, J.-H., Li, J., Heo, K.-S., Fujiwara, K., and Berk, B. C. (2010) PKCzeta decreases eNOS protein stability via inhibitory phosphorylation of ERK5. *Blood.* **116**, 1971–9

- 27. Noda, Y., Kohjima, M., Izaki, T., Ota, K., Yoshinaga, S., Inagaki, F., Ito, T., and Sumimoto, H. (2003) Molecular recognition in dimerization between PB1 domains. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 43516–24
- 28. Stefan, E., Aquin, S., Berger, N., Landry, C. R., Nyfeler, B., Bouvier, M., and Michnick, S. W. (2007) Quantification of dynamic protein complexes using Renilla luciferase fragment complementation applied to protein kinase A activities in vivo. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **104**, 16916–21
- 29. Ribas, C., Penela, P., Murga, C., Salcedo, A., García-Hoz, C., Jurado-Pueyo, M., Aymerich, I., and Mayor, F. (2007) The G protein-coupled receptor kinase (GRK) interactome: role of GRKs in GPCR regulation and signaling. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* **1768**, 913–22
- 30. Sterne-Marr, R., Tesmer, J. J. G., Day, P. W., Stracquatanio, R. P., Cilente, J.-A. E., O'Connor, K. E., Pronin, A. N., Benovic, J. L., and Wedegaertner, P. B. (2003) G protein-coupled receptor Kinase 2/G alpha q/11 interaction. A novel surface on a regulator of G protein signaling homology domain for binding G alpha subunits. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 6050–8
- 31. Shankaranarayanan, A., Thal, D. M., Tesmer, V. M., Roman, D. L., Neubig, R. R., Kozasa, T., and Tesmer, J. J. G. (2008) Assembly of high order G alpha q-effector complexes with RGS proteins. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283**, 34923–34
- 32. Diaz-Meco, M. T., and Moscat, J. (2012) The atypical PKCs in inflammation: NF-κB and beyond. *Immunol. Rev.* **246**, 154–67
- 33. Oldham, W. M., and E. Hamm, H. (2006) Structural basis of function in heterotrimeric G proteins. *Q. Rev. Biophys.* **39**, 117–166
- 34. Zhang, X., Mak, S., Li, L., Parra, A., Denlinger, B., Belmonte, C., and McNaughton, P. a (2012) Direct inhibition of the cold-activated TRPM8 ion channel by Gαq. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **14**, 851–8
- 35. Standaert, M., Bandyopadhyay, G., and Kanoh, Y. (2001) Insulin and PIP3 Activate PKC-zeta by Mechanisms That Are Both Dependent and Independent of Phosphorylation of Activation Loop (T410) and Autophosphorylation (T560) Sites. *Biochemistry*. **40**, 249–255
- 36. Graybill, C., Wee, B., Atwood, S. X., and Prehoda, K. E. (2012) Partitioning-defective protein 6 (Par-6) activates atypical protein kinase C (aPKC) by pseudosubstrate displacement. *J. Biol. Chem.* **287**, 21003–11
- 37. Waldo, G. L., Ricks, T. K., Hicks, S. N., Cheever, M. L., Kawano, T., Tsuboi, K., Wang, X., Montell, C., Kozasa, T., Sondek, J., and Harden, T. K. (2010) Kinetic scaffolding mediated by a phospholipase C-beta and Gq signaling complex. *Science*. **330**, 974–80
- 38. Carman, C. V, Parent, J. L., Day, P. W., Pronin, a N., Sternweis, P. M., Wedegaertner, P. B., Gilman, a G., Benovic, J. L., and Kozasa, T. (1999) Selective regulation of Galpha(q/11) by an RGS domain in the G protein-coupled receptor kinase. GRK2. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**. 34483–92
- 39. Penela, P., Murga, C., Ribas, C., Lafarga, V., and Mayor, F. (2010) The complex G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 (GRK2) interactome unveils new physiopathological targets. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **160**, 821–32
- 40. Adams, J. W., Pagel, a. L., Means, C. K., Oksenberg, D., Armstrong, R. C., and Brown, J. H. (2000) Cardiomyocyte Apoptosis Induced by G q Signaling Is Mediated by Permeability Transition Pore Formation and Activation of the Mitochondrial Death Pathway. *Circ. Res.* 87, 1180–1187
- 41. Peavy, R., Hubbard, K., and Lau, A. (2005) Differential Effects of Gq {alpha}, G14 {alpha}, and G15 {alpha} on Vascular Smooth Muscle Cell Survival and Gene Expression Profiles. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 67, 2102–2114
- 42. Nazarenko, I., Jenny, M., Keil, J., Gieseler, C., Weisshaupt, K., Sehouli, J., Legewie, S., Herbst, L., Weichert, W., Darb-Esfahani, S., Dietel, M., Schäfer, R., Ueberall, F., and Sers, C. (2010) Atypical protein kinase C zeta exhibits a proapoptotic function in ovarian cancer. *Mol. Cancer Res.* 8, 919–34
- 43. Kim, J. Y., Valencia, T., Abu-Baker, S., Linares, J., Lee, S. J., Yajima, T., Chen, J., Eroshkin, A., Castilla, E. A., Brill, L. M., Medvedovic, M., Leitges, M., Moscat, J., and Diaz-Meco, M. T.

- (2013) c-Myc phosphorylation by PKCζ represses prostate tumorigenesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **110**, 6418–23
- 44. Nithianandarajah-Jones, G. N., Wilm, B., Goldring, C. E. P., Müller, J., and Cross, M. J. (2012) ERK5: Structure, regulation and function. *Cell. Signal.* **24**, 2187–2196
- 45. Sturla, L.-M., Cowan, C. W., Guenther, L., Castellino, R. C., Kim, J. Y. H., and Pomeroy, S. L. (2005) A novel role for extracellular signal-regulated kinase 5 and myocyte enhancer factor 2 in medulloblastoma cell death. *Cancer Res.* **65**, 5683–9
- 46. Sohn, S. J., Lewis, G. M., and Winoto, A. (2008) Non-redundant function of the MEK5-ERK5 pathway in thymocyte apoptosis. *EMBO J.* **27**, 1896–906

FOOTNOTES

*This work was supported by grants from Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (SAF2011-23800, SAF2014-55511-R), Fundación Ramón Areces, The Cardiovascular Diseases Network of Ministerio Sanidad y Consumo-Instituto Carlos III (RD12/0042/0012), Comunidad de Madrid (S-2011/BMD-2332) and Instituto de Salud Carlos III (PI11/00126, PI14/00201) to F.M and C.R.. Collaboration with Dr. F. Tall was supported in part by the National Institutes of Health, National Institute of General Medical Sciences (Grant R01-GM088242). Collaboration with Dr. Stephen Michnick was funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) (MOP-GMX-231013) and by an EMBO Short Fellowship awarded to G.S.F. S.C and A.C are recipients of FPU fellowships from the Spanish Ministry of Education.

FIGURE LEGENDS

FIGURE 1. Gαq and PKC ζ interaction in vitro and in living cells. (A) PKC ζ preferentially binds the GTPγS loaded form of Gαq. 20nM of purified His-PKC ζ was incubated with purified Gαq or Gαq loaded with GTPγS as detailed in Experimental Procedures. (B, C) Gαq/PKC ζ complex selectivity in living cells. (B) Scheme of the Protein-fragment Complementation Assay (PCA, see Experimental Procedures). Fluorescence upon expression of the protein pair in living cells is a measure of the occurrence of an interaction between these proteins. The irreversible nature of fluorescent protein YFP-PCA assays allow for easy trapping and visualization of transient complexes. (C) CHO-M3 cells were transfected with different pairs of PCA plasmids that express proteins fused to complementary N- and C-terminal fragments of Venus-YFP: Control (PKC ζ -Venus YFP[F1] + pcDNA3), Zipper+Zipper (Zipper-Venus YFP[F1] + Zipper Venus YFP[F2]), Gαq + PKC ζ (Gαq-Venus YFP[F1] + PKC ζ -Venus YFP[F2]). Representative bright field and YFP images at 40x magnification are shown. Bar length = 25μm. Fluorometric analysis was performed and data (mean +/- SEM of 3 independent experiments) were normalized with respect to control (***p<0.001, two tailed T-test).

FIGURE 2. PKCζ interacts with Gαq through its PB1-type II domain. (A) The overexpression of PKCζ-PB1 domain interferes with Gαq/PKCζ association. (B) Gαq co-immunoprecipitates with the PKCζ-PB1 domain. In both panels COS-7 cells were transfected with the indicated plasmids and co-immunoprecipitation assays performed as described in Experimental Procedures. (C) Cartoon of the PB1 type II domain of PKCζ. Selected residues (*), homologous to interaction-driving amino acids in other PB1-type II proteins (18), were mutated to alanine. (D) Mutations in PKCζ PB1-type II domain interfere with Gαq binding. COS-7 cells were transfected with Gαq and different HA-PKCζ mutants and subjected to co-immunoprecipitation analysis as above. Data (mean +/- SEM of three independent experiments) were normalized with respect to $G\alpha q/PKC\zeta$ wt association (*p<0.05, **p<0.005, two tailed T-test). (E) Lysine 19 in the PB1-type II domain of PKCζ is essential for MEK5 binding. COS-7 cells transfected with different combinations of plasmids encoding MEK5-GST, HA-PKCζ and HA-PKCζK19A and pull-downs and total lysates analyzed as in panel B. (F) A mutation in the PB1-type II domain of PKCζ enhances the

interaction with Gaq. COS-7 cells transfected with Gaq and wild-type or HA-PKC ζ -H21A mutant constructs were analyzed as in panels above. To compare the association of Gaq with the PKC ζ mutant, immunoblotted protein bands were quantified and normalized by total HA-PKC ζ . Data (mean +/- SEM of three independent experiments) were normalized with respect to Gaq/PKC ζ wt association. (**p<0.005, two tailed T-test). Blots representative of 3 independent experiments are shown in all panels.

FIGURE 3. PKCζ does not interact with the classical effector-binding region of Gαq. (A) The C-terminus of Gαq (aa222-353) is essential for the interaction with PKCζ. COS-7 cells were transfected with HA-PKCζ and two EE-tagged chimeras: Gαi-ctGαq [Gαi1(1-222)-Gαq (223-253)] and Gαq-ctGαi [Gαq (1-222)-Gαi1 (223-253)]. (B, C) Mutations in Gαq impairing PLCβ/p63RhoGEF/GRK2-binding enhance the interaction with PKCζ. COS-7 cells were transfected with HA-PKCζ and different association-deficient mutants of Gαq (R256A/T257A mutation disrupts PLCβ (22) and p63RhoGEF (23) binding, and either Y261F or W263D mutations disrupt GRK2 binding (24)). Cell lysates and HA-PKCζ immunoprecipitates were analyzed by western-blot as in Fig.2. Blots representative of 3 independent experiments are shown in all panels. In B-C, data (mean +/- SEM of three independent experiments) were normalized with respect to control (*p<0.05, **p<0.01 two tailed T-test).

FIGURE 4. Gαq interacts with PKCζ through a novel effector-binding region (A) Cartoon of the switch II/III region in Gαq showing the binding sites for RGS proteins and effectors. Important residues for Gαq interaction with PLCβ, GRK2, p63RhoGEF or RGS proteins ((22-24)) are highlighted. A region with sequence similarities to the PB1 domain type I of MEK5, was identified at the β4 strand/β4-α3 loop of Gαq. Acidic residues in this region of Gαq were mutated to alanine. (B) Mutations in the (228-252) region of Gαq interfere with PKCζ binding. COS-7 cells were transfected with HA-PKCζ and the indicated Gαq mutants. Cell lysates and HA-PKCζ immunoprecipitates were analyzed as in previous Figures. Data (mean +/- SEM of three independent experiments) were normalized with respect to PKCζ/Gαq wt association (*p<0.05, ***p<0.001 two tailed T-test). (C) Sequence analysis of the PKCζ binding region in Gαq. Glutamic acids 234 and 245 (E234/E245) of Gαq align with conserved glutamic acids from PB1-type I proteins that form two clusters (A1 and A2) that are crucial for their function as a protein-protein interaction domain. Sequence alignment of different PB1-type I domains and the β4-α3 loop of Gαq were performed with Multalin software (http://multalin.toulouse.inra.fr/multalin/). Sequence IDs: GNAQ mouse (NP_032165), MEK5 mouse (Q62862), Sqstm1 mouse (p62, NM_011018), PKCζ mouse (NM 001039079), PKCλ/i mouse (NM 008857).

FIGURE 5. The Gαq/PKCζ complex is involved in ERK5 activation. (A) Knockdown efficiency upon transfection of a scrambled or a specific shRNA against PKCζ in CHO-M3 cells (see Experimental Procedures). (B) PKCζ is required for ERK5 activation by a Gq-coupled GPCR. CHO-M3 cells were transfected with HA-ERK5, Gag-wt and either scrambled or PKCζ-targeting shRNA, serum-starved for 24h and stimulated with carbachol (10µM) for 15min. ERK5-HA was immunoprecipitated and analyzed by western-blot. Data (mean +/- SEM of 3 independent experiments) were normalized with total ERK5 and expressed as fold-induction of ERK5 phosphorylation over control (*p<0.05, two tailed T-test). (C) PKCζ is not required for ERK1/2 activation by a Gq-coupled GPCR. CHO-M3 cells transfected and stimulated as in panel B were tested for ERK1/2 activation. Representative blot of 3 independent experiments is shown. (D-E) PKCζ association-deficient Gαq mutant cannot activate ERK5 in response to carbachol. CHO-M3 cells were transfected with HA-ERK5, pcDNA3, Gaq or GaqE234/E245-AA (Gaq-EEAA), serum-starved for 2h and stimulated with carbachol (10μM). In D, ERK5-HA was immunoprecipitated and analyzed by western-blot. Data (mean +/- SEM of 3 independent experiments) were normalized with total ERK5 and expressed as fold-induction of ERK5 phosphorylation over control (*p<0.05, ***p<0.001, two tailed T-test). In E, ERK1/2 activation was assessed in cell lysates as in panel C. Data (mean+/- SEM of three independent experiments) were normalized to pcDNA3 transfection (*p<0,05, two-tailed t-Test). (F-G) A constitutively active PKCζ association-deficient Gαq mutant cannot activate ERK5 whereas it fully activates ERK1/2. CHO-M3 cells were transfected with empty vector (pcDNA3) or plasmids encoding HA-ERK5 (F) and the constitutively active mutants Gαq-R183C or GαqR183C-E234/E245-AA ($G\alpha q$ -R183C-EEAA) (both panels F and G). Samples were processed and analyzed as in (D-E) (**p<0.01, two tailed T-test; *p<0.05, two tailed T-test).

FIGURE 6. Gaq forms an activation-dependent complex with ERK5 through PKCζ. (A, B) ERK5 preferentially co-immunoprecipitates active Gaq. CHO-M3 cells were transfected with HA-ERK5, Gaq wt, Gaq-R183C or Gaq-Q209L (constitutively active mutants) as indicated. Cell lysates and HA-ERK5 immunoprecipitates were analyzed as in previous figures. Representative blot of 3 independent experiments are shown. (C) The PB1 domain of PKCζ interferes with Gaq/ERK5 complexes. CHO-M3 cells were transfected with HA-ERK5, Gaq-Q209L and PKCζ-PB1 domain and ERK5 complexes analyzed as above (mean +/- SEM of 3 independent experiments) (*p<0.05). (D) PKCζ silencing decreases the formation of Gaq/ERK5 complexes. CHO-M3 cells were transfected with HA-ERK5, Gaq Q209L, and PKCζ-targeting shRNA, and ERK5 complexes quantified as in C. Data (mean +/- SEM of 3 independent experiments) were normalized with total ERK5 and expressed as fold-induction of co-immunoprecipitated Gaq over shRNA scrambled (*p<0.05, ***p<0.001, two tailed T-test). (E) ERK5 interacts directly with PKCζ. Fusion proteins GST-ERK5 (100nM) and purified GST (100nM) as negative control were incubated with His-PKCζ (20nM) and mixtures analyzed as detailed in Experimental Procedures. A blot representative of two independent experiments is shown.


FIGURE 7. GRK2 is a negative regulator of the Gαq/PKCζ complex (A) GRK2 overexpression impairs Gαq/PKCζ association through its RH domain. COS-7 cells were transfected with HA-PKCζ and Gaq along with GRK2 wild-type, GRK2 K220R (kinase-inactive mutant) or the GRK2 RH domain. Cell lysates and Gaq immunoprecipitates were analyzed by western-blot. (B) Gaq association-deficient GRK2 mutant does not interfere with the Gαq/PKCζ complex. COS-7 cells were transfected with Gαq, HA-PKCζ, GRK2 wt and the GRK2 D110A mutant, which has impaired ability to bind to Gαq (25) and lysates and HA-PKC immunoprecipitates analyzed as in previous figures. (C) GRK2 overexpression impairs Gαq/PKCζ association in living cells. HEK293 cells were transfected with Venus YFP PCA plasmids: Control (PKCζ-Venus YFP[F1]+pcDNA3), Gαq+PKCζ+pcDNA3 (Gαq-Venus YFP[F1]+ YFP[F2]+pcDNA3), Gag+PKCz+GRK2 (Gαq-Venus YFP[F1]+ PKCζ-Venus PKCζ-Venus YFP[F2]+GRK2). Data (mean +/- SEM of three independent experiments) were normalized with respect to control (**p<0.005, two tailed T-test). Bar length = $25\mu m$. (D, E) RGS2/4 overexpression does not alter the formation of the Gαq/PKCζ complex. COS-7 cells were transfected with combinations of plasmids encoding HA-PKCζ, Gaq and RGS2 or RGS4. Either Gaq (D) or HA-PKCζ (E) immunoprecipitates and total lysates were analyzed as above. In all panels, blots shown are representative of 2-3 independent experiments.


FIGURE 8. GRK2 is a negative regulator of Gq-GPCR-mediared ERK5 activation. (A) GRK2 overexpression abolishes ERK5 activation by the Gq-coupled M3 receptor. CHO-M3 cells were transfected with empty vector (pcDNA3), HA-ERK5 and GRK2, serum-starved and stimulated with carbachol ($10\mu M$). ERK5 stimulation was assessed as in Figure 5 (mean +/- SEM of 3 independent experiments) (*p<0.05, two tailed T-test). (B) GRK2 RH domain overexpression partially abolishes ERK5 activation by the Gq-coupled M3 receptor. CHO-M3 cells were transfected with HA-ERK5 and pcDNA3, GRK2 wt or the GRK2-RH domain. Samples were processed as above for ERK5 activation. Blots shown are representative of 2 independent experiments and display the calculated fold-induction. (C) Gq-GPCR-triggered ERK1/2 activation is not affected by a kinase-deficient GRK2 mutant. CHO-M3 cells were transfected with Gαq and either pcDNA3, GRK2 or GRK2-K220R, and treated as above followed by analysis of ERK1/2 activation. Data were normalized to pcDNA3 transfection. Blot is representative of 4 independent experiments and display the calculated fold-induction. (D) A GRK2 association-deficient


Gaq mutant enhances ERK5 activation. CHO-M3 cells were transfected with HA-ERK5 and either Gaq wt or Gaq Y261F (deficient in GRK2 binding) and processed as in A (**p<0.005, ***p<0.001, two tailed T-test). (E) A Gaq mutant with diminished GRK2-association ability shows increased co-immunoprecipitation with ERK5. CHO-M3 cells were transfected with HA-ERK5 and either Gaq wt or Gaq-Y261F. Cell lysates and HA-ERK5 immunoprecipitates were analyzed. Data (mean +/- SEM of 3 independent experiments) were normalized with respect to ERK5/Gaq wt co-immunoprecipitation (***p<0.001).


FIGURE 9. The Gαq/PKCζ complex is involved in apoptotic cell death. (A) PKCζ-association impairing mutations abolish Gαq-induced cell death. CHO-M3 cells were transfected with GFP and either pcDNA3 empty vector, Gaq wild-type or the Gaq-E234/E245-AA (Gq-EEAA) mutant. GFP-positive cells were sorted, seeded onto 96-well sensor plates and monitored with the X-Celligence system for over 140 h. Cell death entry time was determined as the inflection point at which the cell index shifts to negative values (see Procedures). Data were the mean +/- SEM of 5 independent experiments (*p<0.05, two tailed T-test). (B) Constitutively active Gαq mutants with diminished (EEAA) or enhanced (Y261F) PKCζ association ability decrease and increase cell death, respectively. CHO-M3 cells were transfected and cultured in 0.1%FBS for 48 h. The proportion of transfectants (GFP-positive cells) that show propidium iodide-positive staining was determined through flow cytometry (mean +/- SEM of 3 independent experiments) (*p<0.05, ***p<0.001, two tailed T-test over pcDNA3; #p<0.05 over Gαq-R183C). (C) A Gag mutation that impairs PLCB activation does not affect cell death promotion. PI positive cells were determined in populations overexpressing constitutively-active Gaq (Gaq-R183C or Gaq-Q209L) or a mutant with impaired PLCβ activation (Gαq-Q209L-R256/T257-AA) and expressed as fold over Gαq-R183C-transfected cells. (D) Gag-induced cell death is decreased by PKC\(\ceil{PB}\)1 domain overexpression or by an ERK5 inhibitor (XMD8-92), but not by a PLCβ inhibitor (U73122). PI positive cells were quantified as before in populations overexpressing Gaq-R183C and treated with the indicated modulators (*p<0.05, ***p<0.001, two tailed T-test over Gαq-R183C). (E-F) PKCζ-association impairing mutations abolish constitutively active Gaq-induced apoptosis. Assays were carried out as above. GFP-positive cells that show annexin V staining were measured by flow cytometry and the data are expressed as fold over empty vector-transfected cells (mean +/- SEM of 3 independent experiments) (***p<0.001, two tailed Ttest over pcDNA3). Cleaved caspase-3 was detected with a specific antibody (mean +/- SEM of 3 independent experiments) (**p<0.005, two tailed T-test with respect to Gαq-R183C). Representative western blots to confirm the expression of the different plasmids in the experiments are shown.

FIGURE 10. Mechanistic model for the activation of the $G\alpha q/PKC\zeta/ERK5$ axis by Gq-coupled GPCRs. Proposed sequential formation of protein complexes involved in the $G\alpha q$ -ERK5 pathway. See text for detailed information.









C.





Figure 3



Gaq









Figure 5














E.

Figure 6








Figure 7







A.







Figure 8

Figure 9

24

Figure 10



25

Protein kinase C ζ interacts with a novel binding region of $G\alpha q$ to act as functional effector protein

Guzmán Sánchez-Fernández, Sofía Cabezudo, Álvaro Caballero, Carlota García-Hoz, Gregory G. Tall, Javier Klett, Stephen W. Michnick, Federico Mayor, Jr. and Catalina Ribas *J. Biol. Chem. published online February* 17, 2016

Access the most updated version of this article at doi: 10.1074/jbc.M115.684308

Alerts:

- · When this article is cited
- When a correction for this article is posted

Click here to choose from all of JBC's e-mail alerts

This article cites 0 references, 0 of which can be accessed free at http://www.jbc.org/content/early/2016/02/17/jbc.M115.684308.full.html#ref-list-1